

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,380	06/12/2006	Steven C. Deane	GB030221	5921
24737 7590 05/25/2007 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			EXAMINER	
			NHU, DAVID	
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2818	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		•	() ff
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/596,380	DEANE, STEVEN C.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		David Nhu	2818
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
WHI(- Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Consions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	•		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12.	<u>June 2006</u> .	
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims	•	
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawd. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-11 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Applicat	ion Papers		
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ier.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureaction for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Application or its documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
٠		<i></i>	
		De	WOOL
Attachmen		<u>—</u>	
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I: Claims 1-4, 11, draw to a method of manufacturing an active matrix pixel device, classified in class 438 and subclass 149.

Group II: Claims 5-10, draw an active matrix pixel device, classified in class 257 and subclass 359.

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of the group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the group II invention, since the device of the group I invention could be made by the processes materially different from those of the group II invention. For example, in claim 3, depositing and patterning a layer of aluminum to define a top PIN diode contact on the intrinsic region of the PIN diode; annealing the top PIN diode contact to cause aluminum ions to diffuse into the underlying intrinsic region to form the p-type doped region.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application.

Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication on earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Nhu whose telephone number is (571)272-1792. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM. *The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.*

David Nhu

X/

May 21, 2007

Dew Di